Improving Performance With Project Data

How Improved Collection and Analysis Is Leading the Digital Transformation of the Construction Industry
Introduction

Data from the field has always been vital for contractors to understand the progress and health of their projects. Advancing technology is making all kinds of field data easier to collect, analyze and share with teams. But what types of data, and which tools and processes do contractors work with most frequently and find to be the most valuable? The Improving Performance With Project Data SmartMarket Report addresses these questions in order to provide guidance to all contractors who want to improve project performance by more effectively gathering and leveraging field data.

The findings presented in this report are based on a survey of construction managers, general contractors, specialty trade contractors and design/build firms in the U.S. that focus primarily on buildings.

- Since specialty trades are closely involved with managing site labor and equipment in the field, the report compares their responses with all others when the differences are meaningful.
- To provide a balanced perspective, the respondents range from the C-suite to manager level and estimators, and represent a good mix of small, midsize and large companies.

While there are many types of data that could potentially be valuable for analysis, this research focuses on five key types that are widely applicable:

- Project progress
- Man hours
- Productivity
- Safety
- Equipment management

Respondents were asked how they currently gather, store, manage, secure, analyze and report on each of these types of field data with a specific focus on their current level of satisfaction and plans for making future changes. Key trends that emerged among those findings are explored more fully in the report, including:

- A rapid shift away from paper-based forms/reports and spreadsheets to digital tools and platforms, some leveraging cloud technology.
- Increasingly frequent use of apps on mobile phones and digital cameras in the field.
- The desire for more accurate field data that enables trend analysis across projects.
- Keen focus on data security with frequent use of anti-malware software and enterprise-grade firewalls.

Contractors who said they have improved their capabilities to gather and analyze field data over the last three years report numerous benefits that are explored more fully in the report, including:

- Better budget and schedule compliance
- Greater productivity and profitability
- Improved safety

Lastly, the study explores contractors’ current understanding of and engagement with several emerging technologies and processes that are poised to dramatically impact the industry:

- Predictive analytics
- Machine learning
- Artificial intelligence

This report is part of an extensive body of research conducted by Dodge Data & Analytics that examines the business benefits of technology for companies in the construction industry. We thank Viewpoint for being the Premier Partner for this study. We hope it inspires current users of these technologies to expand their field data gathering and analysis initiatives while encouraging others to get involved.
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Methodology

Resources
Technology tools are revolutionizing how contractors gather, analyze and report on field data to improve project performance, profitability and competitiveness. The findings of this study reveal how contractors are gathering and analyzing data now, the benefits of improving those capabilities and the potential for using this data to improve projects in the future.

**Improvements in Data Gathering and Analysis Capabilities**
Most contractors report improvements in their data gathering and analysis capabilities over the last three years. This is especially true at larger companies.

| Improved or Significantly Improved | 64% |
| Remained About the Same | 34% |
| Declined | 2% |

**Benefits of Improved Data Gathering and Analysis Capabilities**
Contractors who reported improved data gathering and analysis selected the top three benefits generated by that improvement. Below are the percentages that include each benefit among the top three. Better budget compliance tops the list, followed closely by improved productivity, profitability and safety.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Benefit</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Better Ability to Complete Projects At/Under Budget</td>
<td>53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Productivity</td>
<td>47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Profitability</td>
<td>46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Better Ability to Complete Projects At/Under Schedule</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased Safety on Projects</td>
<td>34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improved Ability to Win New Work</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Most Important Project Data to Gather**
Contractors assign highest value to project performance, payroll and manhours, productivity, and safety data from the field. Collection of equipment tracking data is still emerging.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Type</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project Performance Data (Schedule, Cost)</td>
<td>93%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payroll and Manhours</td>
<td>76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Productivity Data</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety Data</td>
<td>64%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equipment Tracking Data</td>
<td>37%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Most Important Capabilities to Improve Over Next Three Years for Better Data Gathering and Analysis

Contractors selected the three most important capabilities that need to be improved over the next three years in order to help them continue to advance in data gathering and analysis. The percentages below represent total top-three inclusion, led by ensuring better data accuracy and followed by cross-project data and trend analysis.

Dodge Data & Analytics, 2019

- **Ability to Gather Accurate Data From the Field**: 54%
- **Ability to Do Trend Analysis Across Projects**: 45%
- **Ability to Gather Data That Is Comparable Across Projects**: 44%
- **Ability to Gather Prompt Data From the Field**: 42%
- **Ability to Conduct Analysis Across Different Types of Data**: 38%
- **Ability to Store Data in an Easily Managed Way**: 32%
- **Ability to Create Custom Reports**: 30%

Methods of Data Collection

The use of paper forms and spreadsheets for data collection are both in sharp decline. Meanwhile, custom-designed and commercial software each show dramatic growth.

Dodge Data & Analytics, 2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Percent Using 3 Years Ago</th>
<th>Percent Using Now</th>
<th>Percent Using 3 Years From Now</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Paper Forms</strong></td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Spreadsheets</strong></td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Custom-Designed Software</strong></td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Commercial Software</strong></td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>42%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Satisfaction With Collection Methods

The percentage of contractors reporting satisfaction with the effectiveness of each method aligns closely with the usage trends, reinforcing the rapid industry shift toward software.

Dodge Data & Analytics, 2019

- **Paper Forms**: 28%
- **Spreadsheets**: 47%
- **Custom-Designed Software**: 72%
- **Commercial Software**: 74%
**Approaches to Hosting**

While most contractors are still storing the data gathered from the field in on-premise servers, a growing number are also using commercial cloud service providers and software providers.

- On-Premise Servers: 65%
- Hosted in 3rd Party Cloud (e.g., Amazon): 37%
- Hosted by Software Provider: 25%

**Benefits of the Cloud**

Ease of data access and input from disparate locations are the three most common benefits reported by contractors who are using cloud services.

- Ability to Access Data From the Field While Working in the Office: 71%
- Ability to Access Data From the Office While on the Jobsite: 70%
- Ability for Disparate Locations to Input and Access Data: 61%
- More Extensive Data Storage: 55%
- Less Expensive Than On-Premise or Third-Party Hosting: 43%
- Security Concerns Are Adequately Addressed: 41%

**Advanced Devices for Data Collection**

Well over half of contractors are now using mobile phone apps and cameras to gather and input data from the field. Drones, sensors and wearables are still emerging, but can be expected to grow.

- Apps on Mobile Devices: 68%
- Cameras: 28%
- Drones: 9%
- Sensors: 4%

**Approaches to Data Security**

As device usage expands, contractors report taking a variety of approaches to manage data security, with anti-malware software and enterprise firewalls being the most common.

- Anti-Malware Software: 86%
- Enterprise-Grade Firewall: 78%
- Mobile Device Management Policies: 56%
- Security Standards (ISO Certification, Single-Sign, Two-Factor Authentication): 52%
- Employee Compliance Training: 45%
- Cybersecurity Insurance: 21%
Recommendations

Construction companies are revolutionizing the ways they gather, analyze and report on field data to improve their project performance, profitability and competitiveness. The recommendations on this page are intended to provide actionable insights based on the findings of the research presented in this report for companies at all stages of their digital transformation journey.

**Maintain a Tight Focus on What Matters for Your Company**

This four-step process to structure your company’s data collection, analysis and reporting initiative can help to make it successful.

1. **INFORMATION:** Thinking with an end in mind, first determine what aspects of your project delivery process would benefit the most from better information to support good decision making, for instance:
   - More current project status updates?
   - More reliable productivity figures?
   - More accurate equipment usage records?

   The right answers are unique to your company and essential to your data strategy.

2. **DATA:** From that, the next steps are to:
   - Identify which specific types of field data will best enable the proper analysis to generate that critical decision-support information.
   - Think carefully about the minimum level of completeness, accuracy and timeliness required for each type, because that will greatly impact the feasibility and level of effort involved in gathering and managing it for analysis. What will be “good enough” to be helpful?

   3. **PLAN:** The first two steps form the basis for your company to develop a focused technology and process plan for data collection, analysis and reporting, including:
      - Specific data needed and types of analysis required
      - Types and amounts of financial and human capital investments required
      - Time frames for implementation
      - Clear roles and responsibilities
      - Measurable goals for success

   4. **IMPROVE:** As the benefits accrue, don’t get complacent. Regularly revisit the three previous steps to continually improve and expand your company’s data initiative.

**Embrace the Shift to Software, the Cloud and Advanced Approaches to Data Collection**

The findings of this report clearly demonstrate two key trends:

- Contractors are rapidly adopting these innovations.
- Those who are implementing them effectively are receiving a wide variety of meaningful benefits and are planning to expand their initiatives.

Your company can be a leader or a follower as suits your strategy and resources, but non-participation is no longer a viable option in order to remain competitive in your market and attractive as an employer.

**Acknowledge That Data Expertise is a Core Competency for Construction Companies and Recruit, Hire, Train, Measure and Nurture Your Talent Accordingly**

The effective use of data that reveals how well a company operates is becoming increasingly central to the success of every business. So, both as a hiring filter and a condition for advancement, incorporate whatever levels of data literacy make sense in particular roles to best support your collection, analysis and reporting initiative.

And remember, your company doesn’t have to do this all by itself. Take advantage of industry organizations, trusted peers, technology companies and consultants who can all help.
Relative Importance of Different Types of Jobsite Data

The Big Picture
Not all data is created equal. Contractors can invest time and effort into establishing processes to collect and analyze many kinds of data, but which ones are the most useful for better decision making and improved outcomes?

Research and Chart
To explore this question in the survey, contractors were asked to rate five different types of data in terms of how important each one is to the success of their projects. The chart at right shows the percentages of general contractors and specialty trades who rated each as having either high or very high importance.

Overall Findings
While most firms gather data on each of these items, project performance, man hours, productivity and safety are rated as valuable by considerably more than half of the respondents.

Differences Between General Contractors and Specialty Trades
Although it is not surprising that all respondents assign top value to project performance data, some interesting differences emerged between general contractors and trades on the other data types:

- Because labor is so critical to trades, more of them consider tracking payroll/manhours and productivity data to be a high priority.
- Also, because a lot of the equipment on a jobsite is related to specific trades, they are also more focused on tracking that type of data.

Encouragingly, there is a nearly equal focus on safety data, with about two thirds of all contractors rating it among the most important.

Differences by Size of Company
There is no significant difference in ratings by firm size for any of the categories studied.
The Big Picture

Once contractors decide what data they want to focus on collecting, they have choices to make about methods for gathering and tracking it, ranging from traditional paper forms to specialized software solutions that are either commercial (off-the-shelf) or custom designed.

Research and Chart

To establish a baseline of current industry practices, contractors were asked how they currently gather five specific types of data from the field. The chart shows the combined percentages of both general and specialty trade contractors who report using one or more of these four methods to gather each type of data:

- Paper forms
- Spreadsheets
- Custom-designed software
- Commercial (off-the-shelf) software

Overall Findings

Commercial software is well established as the most frequently used solution except for safety, where paper forms and spreadsheets are still common. This may indicate an underserved need that software companies could address.

Current Means of Collecting Data

Dodge Data & Analytics, 2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Type</th>
<th>Paper Forms</th>
<th>Spreadsheets</th>
<th>Custom-Designed Software</th>
<th>Commercial Software</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Payroll/Man Hours</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Productivity Data</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equipment Tracking Data</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety Data</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Performance Data (Schedule, Cost)</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>47%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Project performance (schedule, cost) data has the most advanced collection process of the five types:

- Least use of paper forms
- Highest use of commercial software
- Second-highest use of custom-designed software

However, project performance also has the highest use of spreadsheets, reflecting either their role as an important part of the digital workflow, or some resistance to abandoning all existing tools in favor of a specialized software solution.

Though scoring the lowest, traditional paper forms are still being used for every data type studied, and it may require a generational shift to fully retire them from the jobsite.

Differences Between General Contractors and Specialty Trades

There is no significant difference between how general contractors and specialty trades gather these five types of data.

Differences by Size of Company

There are some differences related to company size:

- More small firms still more frequently use paper forms for payroll/man hours.
- Larger firms more frequently use custom-designed software for safety and project performance data.
Satisfaction With Current Methods of Gathering Jobsite Data

THE BIG PICTURE
To optimize the use of data collected from the field for better analysis and decision-making, it is critical that the data is timely, accurate, sufficiently detailed and comprehensive enough to extrapolate across multiple projects. Different methods of data collection have varying strengths and weaknesses in these regards.

Research and Charts
To determine experienced users’ perspective on the relative effectiveness of four different methods of data collection, contractors who reported using each one were asked about their level of satisfaction with it (Not Satisfied, Somewhat Satisfied, Satisfied, or Very Satisfied), specifically related to four aspects of its value:

■ The timeliness of data gathering
■ The accuracy of the data being gathered
■ The ability to analyze data across multiple projects
■ The level of detail that is made available on the data

The charts show the combined percentages of both general and trade contractors who report either being satisfied or very satisfied with each aspect of the four methods.

Paper Forms
The least satisfactory of the four methods is using paper forms.
■ They have the lowest rating for each of the value metrics, resulting in an average satisfaction level of just 28% across all four.
■ 3% or fewer report being very satisfied with any aspect of using paper forms.
■ Paper forms are particularly poor for supporting the ability to analyze data across projects, with only 17% reporting satisfaction.

Spreadsheets
Spreadsheets are better than paper forms but not as effective as software in any category.
■ Accuracy is the best aspect of using spreadsheets, with 50% of contractors satisfied with this means and another 8% very satisfied.
■ Nearly twice as many are satisfied with the level of detail (48%) than with paper forms (25%).

Contractor Satisfaction With Data Gathered on Paper Forms

Contractor Satisfaction With Data Gathered in Spreadsheets
Gathering Data From the Jobsite
Satisfaction With Current Methods of Gathering Jobsite Data

- Satisfaction with timeliness is better than with paper (41% versus 32%) but not nearly as effective as software (average 76%).
- 41% of contractors report satisfaction with multi-project analysis ability (versus just 17% with paper), but that is far fewer than software’s satisfaction ratings (average 68%).
- Spreadsheets earn an average satisfaction rating of 47% across the four categories.

Custom-Designed Software
Custom-designed software is more satisfactory than paper forms or spreadsheets.
- An average satisfaction rating of 72% across the four categories of value.
- All metrics score strongly, with timeliness (75%) and accuracy (74%) as the leaders, but level of detail (72%) and multi-project analysis support (68%) are close behind.
- Among the 68% citing satisfaction with multi-project analysis support, over one third say they are very satisfied, the highest of any category in the study.
- Custom-designed software garners a 72% average satisfaction rating across the four metrics.

Commercial Software
This is the overall satisfaction leader.
- Outperforming the other three methods in every category, commercial software has the highest average satisfaction rating (76%).
- It particularly excels in the level of detail it makes available for analysis and decision support (79%), the highest satisfaction score earned in this study.

Comparison of Satisfaction Ratings
The table shows the percentage of contractors who are satisfied or very satisfied with each data gathering method across the four value metrics studied.

Contractor Satisfaction With Data Gathered From Custom-Designed Software
Dodge Data & Analytics, 2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Very Satisfied</th>
<th>Satisfied</th>
<th>Average</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Timeliness</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>72%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accuracy</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ability to Analyze Across Projects</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level of Detail</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Contractor Satisfaction With Data Gathered From Commercial Software
Dodge Data & Analytics, 2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Very Satisfied</th>
<th>Satisfied</th>
<th>Average</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Timeliness</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>78%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accuracy</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ability to Analyze Across Projects</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level of Detail</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Contractors Satisfied/Very Satisfied With Aspects of Data Gathering by Various Means

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Paper Forms</th>
<th>Spreadsheets</th>
<th>Custom-Designed Software</th>
<th>Commercial Software</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Timeliness</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>78%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accuracy</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>74%</td>
<td>76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analyze Across Projects</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level of Detail</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>79%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AVERAGE</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>76%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
How Current Methods of Gathering Jobsite Data Will Change

THE BIG PICTURE
The process of gathering data from the field is evolving rapidly and can be expected to continue to advance as technologies mature, common platforms become established and more project teams embrace fully digital workflows.

Research and Charts
To show how dynamically the construction industry is changing its approach to data gathering, contractors were asked about the method(s) they used to collect each of five types of data three years ago, now and three years in the future. The charts show how the practices of all respondents (general contractors and specialty trades) are evolving.

Payroll/Manhours
- Three years ago, there was about an even split among use of each of the four methods studied, but both commercial and custom-designed software solutions are projected to strongly dominate by 2021.
- There are no significant differences by firm size or between general contractors and specialty trades in how they expect to gather this data in 2021.

Productivity
- There is a major drop from the previously very high (42%) use of spreadsheets in 2015 to only 12% by 2021, replaced by a strong uptake of both commercial (42%) and custom-designed (44%) software, about doubling their usage from 2015.
- Paper forms were already the least used method in 2015 and fall to nearly zero in 2021.
- There are no significant differences by firm size or between general contractors and specialty trades in how they expect to gather this data by 2021.

Equipment Tracking
- In 2015, contractors were relying most frequently on spreadsheets (27%) and paper forms (21%), but use of both of those methods is expected to reduce sharply by 2021, especially paper (5%).
- The adoption of software is not as strong as is projected for the other four data types. This may relate to the applications for that specialized use being less mature and to possible required adaptation or replacement of the equipment itself.
While advances are predicted for equipment tracking data, no method is forecasted to be used by more than one third of contractors. There are no significant differences by firm size or between general contractors and specialty trades in how they expect to gather this data in 2021.

Safety
- This is another data type that was dominated in 2015 by spreadsheets (39%) and paper forms (34%). However, those top positions will be supplanted by custom-designed (35%) and commercial (44%) software solutions by 2021.
- A significantly higher percentage of large firms say they plan to use custom-designed software to track safety data by 2021.
- There are no significant differences between general contractors and specialty trades.

Project Performance
- In 2015, commercial software was already the most well established with this data type (41%) and is projected to continue to show the highest penetration (51%) in 2021, but mostly with general contractors rather than trades.
- Custom-designed software is growing the most quickly, projected to nearly double over the period, with a particularly high percentage of large firms expecting to use it.
- Spreadsheets were a highly popular (40%) method in 2015 but drop to only 14% by 2021.
- Paper forms were not significant in 2015 (16%) and nearly disappear (4%) by 2021.
Use of Advanced Devices to Gather Jobsite Data

**The Big Picture**
Like all other major industries, an exciting array of new electronic methods are emerging for construction that help automate data gathering and enable effective integration of the data into digital workflows and analytical processes.

**Research and Chart**
To determine the current level of adoption, we asked general contractors and specialty trades to identify the electronic tools and methods they are currently using to capture data in the field. The chart shows the percentages of contractors who report some level of use of each.

**Overall Findings**
Apps developed to work on mobile devices are the most common overall, which aligns with the general growth of this category in all parts of the economy. Wearable devices on workers and sensors in the field to track movement and environmental conditions are both still in the early stages of emergence.

**Differences Between General Contractors and Specialty Trades**
Overall, general contractors are much more likely to be using at least one of these devices than specialty trades.

- **While the use of cameras to capture jobsite data is common among general contractors (79%), less than half as many (38%) specialty trades report doing so.** This may reflect a focus on using cameras to document progress, or to illustrate questions and provide clarifications, both of which tend to be handled more commonly by the general contractor.
- **Drones are an even more extreme example of a tool being used primarily by general contractors (37%) compared with the trades (no use).** Again, this probably represents the nature of their use for capturing existing conditions, measuring bulk material usage and other site-related issues, and documenting construction progress.
- **Almost all (94%) of the general contractors are using at least one of these devices, but nearly a quarter (23%) of trades are still not using any.**

### Electronic Tools Used to Capture Field Data
(by Percentage of Contractors Using Each)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tool</th>
<th>GC/CM/DB</th>
<th>Specialty Trades</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Apps on Mobile Devices</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>72%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cameras</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drones</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensors</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wearables</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Differences by Size of Company**
Other Dodge research studies often show that large organizations tend to lead the industry in early adoption of new technologies. This trend holds true again here, where large companies report more frequent use of mobile device apps, drones and sensors than smaller firms.
Metrics That Matter

Tracking key performance indicators has already been widely recognized as critical to improving projects, but knowing what data to gather can impact whether contractors fully benefit from the information.

Data gathering and analytics allow construction professionals to track metrics like never before, but to avoid drowning in all this new data, practitioners need to track the metrics that matter. So which are they?

**Most Frequently Used and Valued Metrics by Contractors**

RFIs, change orders, schedule, costs, errors and omissions, and safety rank among the most tracked and most valued metrics. A study of key performance indicators (KPIs) for contractors, conducted by Dodge Data & Analytics in 2018, revealed the following:

- Nearly 90% of general contractor/construction manager respondents routinely log RFIs on a majority of projects.
- Nearly 70% document the majority of change orders and evaluate their schedule impact.
- 60% frequently capture errors, omissions and constructibility issues in construction documents.
- Over half make regular use of software to help manage safety and inspections.

**Metrics Sought by Owners**

Anecdotally, many owners would welcome data from their peers on the number or dollar value of projects managed per person, and salaries paid, but the Construction Owners Association of America’s (COAA) general counsel warns that that type of sharing could run afoul of antitrust regulations.

Other kinds of data that could be usefully tracked and safely shared, suggests Howie Ferguson, COAA’s executive director, include multi-project comparisons of predicted versus actual duration of design and construction phases. Such data would update a 2003 study by the University of Texas of its own projects, which found that its predictions of construction duration were, on average, accurate within 3%, but its predictions of design phase duration underestimated by, on average, 88%.

A metric that could help owners avoid duplication of effort is the percentage of design feedback that a project team fails to address in successive deliverables—and which therefore has to be repeated. “That metric could tell me whether I wanted to work with that team again,” says Ferguson.

Some owners track requests for information (RFIs) as an indicator of a poor set of design documents, but in Ferguson’s experience, that is not productive. “RFI data could be an indicator of something to look into,” he says, “but raw quantity doesn’t tell you anything.” An RFI may indicate a gap in the documents, but it could just as easily indicate an inferior contractor asking unnecessary questions, or a capable one taking proper care on a complex project.

**Lagging Versus Leading Indicators**

Asking what is behind a metric and why it matters constitutes the first step toward identifying indicators that go beyond describing to actually predicting outcomes—especially successful outcomes. “Too often we’re doing failure analysis based on the root causes of a problem,” says Sue Klawans, a senior construction executive and Lean management consultant. “But what if we looked at the root causes of success?”

As a starting point, Klawans refers to the concept of visual management, a crucial element in the Lean paradigm and a rubric for monitoring and communicating information. Visual management enables key data to register at a glance, fostering both micro-advancement (what we’re doing onsite for the week becomes more clear) and macro-advancement (our project is more profitable, and we’re achieving agreed deliverables). It expresses a team’s shared understanding of what to measure and why. And it enables the team to see where a given metric stands relative to a shared goal, so the information can inform action.

“People think that the things they want to measure are whether a project was on budget, or on schedule, or how many change orders it had,” says Klawans, “but when you really look at visual management systems, you begin to understand that all of those things are lagging indicators. They’re consequences.” The leading
indicators, the ones that predict a consequence, are what Klawans calls “metrics that matter.”

Identifying predictors of success poses more of a challenge than tracking lagging indicators, especially as the definition of success can vary from project to project. However, in a 2017 session of the Associated General Contractors of America (AGC)’s Public/Private Industry Advisory Council (a group set up to promote dialogue with public and private owners involved in facility construction), some 40 to 50 owners, architects, general contractors and trade contractors took a crack at it, with subsequent sessions at COAA conferences expanding the effort.

Team Health Is a Leading Metric

At the outset, most participants reported measuring lagging indicators, often without ploughing the knowledge gained back into improving the next project. However, in the course of reflecting on what made some of their projects successful and others just average, the group reached what Klawans calls an “aha!” moment. “The people in the room, practitioners who run projects for their universities and their hospitals, were arriving at a shared conclusion that it’s the team’s functionality that drives success,” she says. “Team health is the leading indicator.”

The COAA session defined team health as a function of the group developing a culture, process and capability that sustains it through the project. Good team health means you don’t have to stack a project team with A-plus players: competent people with the right mind-set and a strong framework can achieve extraordinary results.

A pair of quantitative studies conducted by Dodge Data & Analytics for the Lean Construction Institute supports the group’s finding. In each study, one conducted with owners and one with architects and designers, a strong team culture was a common feature on the best projects that respondents had worked on and far less common on typical projects.

But if team health is the metric that matters, how do you track it and integrate it into decision-making? To make a start, the COAA session developed options for participants to pilot. For example, would it be effective for a team to gather together its obstacles, assess their relative significance and the team’s capability to clear them, and then monitor progress monthly? Or would it be useful to conduct a short monthly survey focused on team health and review observations at the next team meeting, with sample questions perhaps including:

- Are all project team members actively and meaningfully engaged in the project?
- From my own point of view, am I modeling behaviors to ensure a safe site? Am I seeing all the others on the team model behaviors to ensure a safe site? Is there a difference between those two answers, and why?
- Do we have open and transparent dialogue? Or is information being withheld?
- Do I enjoy being part of this team, and am I proud of what we’re creating?

However project teams try to get at the metric of team health, “it’s relatively new in the industry for an owner to take a serious look at the intangibles of a project, and specifically at the morale and health of its project team,” says Ferguson. “But in the last two to four years, people have started coming around to the idea that, even with all this technology, it’s still people who make projects succeed. Those people, their buy-in, their ownership of the process, that actually does matter.”
Data: Storing and Managing Data

Approach to Storing Data

THE BIG PICTURE
As jobsite data is increasingly being gathered from the field for analysis, contractors are using a variety of on-premise and cloud capabilities to store and manage it.

Research and Charts
To examine how firms are currently dealing with data storage and management, contractors were asked to identify which method(s) they are now using. The charts show the responses broken out by company type and by company size.

Overall Findings
Looking at the aggregate responses from all the contractors surveyed:
- On-premise servers are currently the most popular approach, with two thirds (65%) reporting using them.
- Over half (62%) are taking advantage of third-party hosting, with the use of commercial vendors such as Amazon reported more frequently (37%) than working directly with a software provider (25%).

DIFFERENCES BETWEEN GENERAL CONTRACTORS AND SPECIALTY TRADES
Almost three times as many general contractors (average 36%) are engaged with third-party hosting than specialty trades (average 13%), the great majority of which (85%) report self-hosting with on-premise servers.

DIFFERENCES BY SIZE OF COMPANY
As the second chart makes clear, there is a direct relationship between a company’s size and its comfort level using third-party hosting.
- About 50% more small companies (77%) are maintaining their data on-premise than large ones (55%).
- Three times as many large contractors (38%) work with a software vendor for hosting as do small firms (13%).
- Commercial cloud vendors are somewhat more accepted by smaller contractors than having their data hosted by software providers, which may point to future growth.
Reasons for Choosing Cloud Storage

** Reasons for Managing Data in the Cloud **

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason</th>
<th>Included Among All Reasons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ability for Disparate Locations to Input and Access Data</td>
<td>61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ability to Access Data From the Office While on the Jobsite</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Security Concerns are Adequately Addressed</td>
<td>41%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ability to Access Data From the Field While Working in the Office</td>
<td>71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More Extensive Data Storage</td>
<td>55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less Expensive than On-Premise</td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improved Ability to Run Analytics on Data</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

** Reasons for Choosing Cloud Storage **

** Overall Findings **

The top three most-cited reasons by all contractors relate to effectively connecting the office and the field to each other and to disparate locations. They also rank first, second and fourth among the most important drivers.

Adequate security is core to the use of the cloud for multi-location data exchange. Having it satisfactorily addressed is the third most important reason, yet it only ranks next to last among all options, so those who chose it are very focused on it.

Over half (55%) of respondents identify more extensive data storage as a reason for using the cloud, but it just ranks low (fifth) among top drivers. This priority may change as contractors store ever-larger quantities of data and come to appreciate cloud capacity.

Conversely, lower cost rates high (third) among all reasons, but next to last among the most important. While almost always included in “check the box” concerns, cost falls back when considering important process improvements with tangible benefits.

The low score for running better data analytics in the cloud is likely because this is still an emerging practice among contractors, and it can be expected to increase significantly as a driver once more firms take advantage of this powerful capability.
Concerns About Cloud Storage

The Big Picture
In large industries such as construction, participants have varying degrees of comfort with new technologies and changing processes. They typically become the late adopters of trends after others have already made them standard operating procedure.

Research and Charts
To understand their perceived obstacles to adopting cloud-based data management, the contractors who indicated they are not yet using the cloud were asked to select, from a list of five, all of the reasons that are influencing their reluctance. The chart shows the percentage who identified each.

Overall Findings
Satisfaction that there is adequate security emerged as an important driver among contractors who are using cloud-based data management, and the issue appears again here as the top reason non-users have not yet adopted. These findings should be a clear message to providers that security is top-of-mind for both users and prospects.

The second and third most-cited objections (previous investments in other storage solutions, and cost) score about equally. And they are compounded in that if a non-user is concerned about the cost of cloud-hosting, it makes it even worse if they are already investing in other data storage solutions, making the new cost completely incremental.

Differences Between General Contractors and Specialty Trades
Nearly half (44%) of trade contractors report that they are not using the cloud because of cost, compared to just 20% of general contractors.

Reasons for Not Managing Data in the Cloud

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason</th>
<th>GC/CM/DB</th>
<th>Specialty Trades</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Security Concerns</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous Investment in Onsite/3rd Party Storage Capabilities</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of Storing Data in the Cloud</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unfamiliar with How to Store Data in the Cloud</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limitations on Data Storage</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Dodge Data & Analytics, 2019
For project managers, superintendents and other key project staff, the burden of administrative tasks can negatively affect productivity. At Leander Construction, an Illinois-based water and wastewater contractor, project staff members are expected to keep track of submittals, requests for information, updated drawing sets and other critical project data without the aid of support staff.

**Automating Submittals and RFIs**

In 2017, the company added a cloud-based solution that works with its existing project management software and helps automate many of the tasks that they had previously done manually. “We used to assemble submittals and put them in an email and track them manually,” says Bobby Asbury, senior project manager at Leander. “Any comments were on emails back and forth or on the submittal itself.”

Instead of pulling data from its submittals register, exporting it and attaching it to emails multiple times, much of that work can be scheduled in advance and automated. Leander’s project staff no longer has to manually update the progress of its submittals—subcontractors and vendors can log in to the system, add required information and move the submittal on to the next step in the process. “It’s seamless because it’s all interconnected,” he adds.

Asbury says the process does require more upfront work at the beginning of a project. He sets up the submittals register and schedules the dates for when submittal information is due. Based on that schedule, the system can automatically send reminders to subcontractors and vendors about upcoming due dates. Subcontractors and vendors are able to interact with the system without having to purchase any software.

“Once you get it set up, then you can concentrate on the project,” he says. “You’re not worrying about emailing people about when things are due.”

Asbury estimates that, even with the added upfront work, he has reduced the time invested in submittals by more than 25% since using the cloud-based system. “Time spent constantly hounding subs and vendors has gone out the door,” he says. “You’re not constantly tracking and checking and double-checking.”

Subcontractors and vendors can also issue RFIs through the system.
which Asbury says helps the team track requests more efficiently and consistently. “We set it up so that RFIs are routed directly to the project manager, who can either answer it or get an RFI over to the engineer,” he says. “It significantly reduces email correspondences by keeping everything in one package.”

Improved Team Access to Drawings
Since first adopting the new cloud-based system, the company started leveraging additional capabilities. Leander now uses the system to manage and store drawings, allowing the team to have an easily accessible single source for up-to-date as-built drawings. Asbury says the new process helps reduce the risk of errors. “I’ve had superintendents put an RFI in early on a project, but when we were three-quarters of the way into the project and finally hit that spot (referenced in the RFI), it wasn’t noted on the hard copy they have in the trailer,” he says. “That’s the error of not updating the hard set. Now, we keep these drawing sets updated electronically. I have a superintendent who, the first thing he does before he goes out to build a new task is check the drawings on [the system] to make sure it’s the current set.”

Leander’s subcontractors in the field can also reduce errors by accessing the drawings from the system. “We recently had some mechanical and electrical guys trying to work from an old set,” he says. “I told them to get their guys connected to tablets so they can look at the updated sets moving forward. They each got tablets, and they can now pull up the drawings quickly onsite.”

Asbury says a critical part of the system’s success is making it easy for its vendors and subcontractors. Submittal information, for example, is provided in an easy template that is consistent across all of its projects. “All a sub has to do is wait to be invited to a job, and it’s pretty much set up on the front end for them,” he says. “You can tie a vendor to two dozen submittals, if you want to. It’s all set up in advance and consistent.”

Asbury hopes that by making its submittal and RFI processes easier it gains a competitive advantage in attracting quality subcontractors and vendors to projects. “I get a lot of comments on how well organized we are on projects,” he says. “You’ve got everything laid out in front of you, so it all looks very organized. You don’t have to think too much—it’s all just there.”

Improved Collaboration
Asbury says the system opens up the possibility for better collaboration on projects. Leander and its partners can share important information more easily, both in the field and meetings, to make more informed decisions. Leander is also able to invite owners, representatives, engineers and other project members who are outside its silo to access information from its system. Asbury says he can set permissions that dictate what other team members can and can’t access. “They might see schedules, pictures or submittals, if they want to,” he says. “Some are pretty involved. Some aren’t interested.”

Data Organization
The company also recently moved its daily logs to the system to create a standardized way of documenting the details of a project. “When you’re on the jobsite, you can take a picture and upload the picture right to that portion of the daily log,” he says.

By setting up templates and consistent processes, Asbury says the company has been able to better standardize its structures. Templates and folder structures—which can store schedules, photos, contracts, permits, RFIs, ASI and other project files—can be copied from one project to the next. Through this standardization, the company creates a sense of familiarity for its regular subcontractors and vendors, as well as its employees. If a project manager needs to be out of the office, for example, another member of the project team can step in to help cover a project more easily because they already know the standard processes. “Our goal is to be standardized across the company,” he says. “It’s boring, but effective. I want my job to look like the guy’s next to me.”

Adoption of the system has presented some challenges. Asbury says he’s seen “a bit of a learning curve” among some of its superintendents. “It can seem time consuming at the start, but eventually, it’s a time-saver for the field,” he says. “But some people are still old school in their approach.”

Leander provides some training on the system for staff, but Asbury says it relies on the system’s help section and tutorials to answer questions about using the system.

Overall, Asbury says the system has allowed the company to find new ways to improve its data management and keep employees focused on important tasks, improving productivity.
Approach to Data Management

The Big Picture
As the construction industry continues to adopt and deploy an ever-widening array of technology tools, data management is becoming a core capability for all types and sizes of contractors. This creates needs for technology leadership roles and centralized data management policies and strategies.

Responsibility for Data Management
To understand how they are currently approaching data management leadership, contractors were asked to identify who, if anyone, is primarily responsible for data management. The top chart shows how the approach varies across different sizes of construction companies.

There is a direct relationship between the size of a company and its likelihood of having an IT director/manager, with only about half (54%) of small firms reporting it compared with almost all (95%) large ones. The converse applies to having no one responsible for data management, with almost one third (30%) of small firms in that category compared with 19% of midsize firms and only a few (5%) large ones. With data management becoming more important all the time, there is an opportunity to help smaller companies become more engaged.

Database Strategy
To determine their strategies for managing databases and consolidated platforms, contractors were asked to characterize their approach in one of three categories. The chart compares the responses of large firms with the average of small and midsize ones.

While most companies begin their data management journey using a different database for every kind of data, most eventually consolidate to fewer, or even to a single platform. Interestingly almost half (43%) of large contractors, which are typically viewed as the most technologically sophisticated, still report having multiple databases for different types of data. Rather than a reluctance to advance, however, this finding may represent the greater challenge of centralizing data management in a larger operation. Smaller firms can be nimbler.
Approach to Data Security

THE BIG PICTURE
As most industries around the world experience accelerating digital transformations, concerns about the security of confidential business data are increasingly top-of-mind. Findings in this section of the study about the influence of security issues on both adopters and non-users of cloud services exemplify this trend.

Research and Chart
To learn more about how contractors are addressing data security, all survey participants were asked to identify, from a list of six, all of the methods they are currently using to ensure data security. The chart shows their aggregated responses.

Overall Findings
Almost every contractor (98%) is using at least one of these measures, and most are deploying several.
- Well over three quarters are addressing security technologically, with anti-malware software (86%) and enterprise grade firewalls (78%).
- Over half are deploying policy-based solutions: mobile device management policies (56%) and/or security standards (52%).
- But less than half (45%) are working directly with data/device users through employee compliance training, and even fewer (21%) are investing in third-party cybersecurity insurance.

DIFFERENCES BY SIZE OF COMPANY
As the table shows, more large firms are actively deploying many of these measures than small firms. But since multiple companies are increasingly sharing data on projects through integrated digital workflows, this gap increases the risk of security breach for everyone. This is worthy of wider industry attention and development of means for smaller firms to upgrade their approach to data security.

DIFFERENCES BETWEEN GENERAL CONTRACTORS AND SPECIALTY TRADES
There are no significant differences in use of these measures between GCs and specialty trades.

Most Frequently Used Data Security Measures
Dodge Data & Analytics, 2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Small Firms</th>
<th>Large Firms</th>
<th>Differential</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anti-Malware Software</td>
<td></td>
<td>86%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enterprise-Grade Firewall</td>
<td></td>
<td>78%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobile Device Management Policies</td>
<td></td>
<td>56%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Security Standards (ISO Certification, Single-Sign, Two-Factor Authentication)</td>
<td></td>
<td>52%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee Compliance Training</td>
<td></td>
<td>45%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cybersecurity Insurance</td>
<td></td>
<td>21%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Security Measures in Place</td>
<td></td>
<td>2%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Coming to Grips With Cyber Risk**

Cyber risk is a real threat to construction companies, and it is one that the industry must be prepared to tackle in order to take advantage of the opportunities presented by new technology and data gathering approaches.

Construction companies do not typically amass large amounts of personal data or deal directly with the public, but if they think that means their exposure to cyber crime is low, they may want to think again. “Any business that transmits or receives information electronically is a potential target,” says Nick Montera, vice president at Marsh, an insurance brokerage and risk management advisory company.

In fact, the AEC industry has its own vulnerabilities, including the fluidity of its workforce, field use of connected devices, the dozens of parties, including subcontractors, exchanging data on a typical project, and the significant quantities of confidential data involved, including bids, building information, employee records and corporate finances.

The consequences of a breach can be severe. “If you’re not prepared,” says Montera, “it’s going to result in increased monetary loss, time loss and possible reputational harm.” Multi-sector studies suggest that only 43% of companies with a major data loss reopen,¹ and only 6% survive more than two years.²

**Safe Practice**

To mitigate the risks of a cyber attack, Stephen Tullos, cybersecurity team lead at My IT, a consultancy with experience in the construction sector, recommends conducting an audit of current practices to set a benchmark—ideally using a third party for greater objectivity.

General improvements might then include encrypting or otherwise protecting every device having access to the corporate network, providing training in cyber hygiene to employees at every level of the company, regularly reviewing firewalls and updating security patches, and developing protocols for managing cyber relations with collaborators. The specifics of each business will determine the most appropriate measures to take.

Cybersecurity practices should be consolidated into a comprehensive policy, which should also include a detailed response plan for if a breach does occur. “Time is critical in mitigating the monetary and reputational loss,” says Montera.

**Cloud Versus Closet**

Some construction companies report a concern that cloud-based platforms and software as a service (SAAS) may expose their data to greater risk than if they stored it on their own server in the closet down the hall. The first thing to understand, says Tullos, is that despite the term “cloud,” data is not just floating around out there; it is stored in secured and dedicated premises. And while it is true that the higher profile of large data storage companies may attract more attention from malicious actors, says Montera, data companies are in the business of storing and protecting information. Their expertise and resources far outweigh what individual contractors are likely to provide for themselves. “Time will tell which is the better way to go,” Montera says, and in the meantime contractors should evaluate the resources they’re able to devote to cybersecurity, and make a facts-based decision about their options.

**Cyber Insurance**

An increasingly popular tool in the risk mitigation toolbox is cyber insurance. In the 12-month period ending September 2018, 28% of Marsh’s construction practice-group clients were purchasing stand-alone cyber insurance policies, up from 19% the year before, but still well below the 53% to 63% of other sectors such as media, hospitality, education and healthcare.

Five years ago, contractors—especially small and medium-size ones—might have considered their exposure to cyber risk too low to spend time and money dealing with it. Now, that is changing fast. “You need to be prepared for this,” says Tullos. ●


Use of Automated Analysis and Standard Reports

**THE BIG PICTURE**

The spreadsheet is a well-established tool in global business for analyzing data and generating useful reports. It also serves as many users' first foray into digitization. But most construction companies are now transitioning to using data analysis software systems that have been developed specifically for the construction industry.

**Approach to Using Automated Systems Versus Spreadsheets**

To determine where the US industry currently stands in its transition, contractors were asked to characterize their use of automated systems versus spreadsheets to analyze and report on data collected from the field. The top chart shows the differences between the responses of general contractors and trade contractors.

- The majority (78%) are not at either extreme (i.e., exclusively spreadsheets or automated systems) but are in one of the three middle stages of transition.
- Among those, the largest group has progressed beyond the midpoint and are using more automated systems than spreadsheets.
- General contractors as a group are slightly ahead of specialty trades, but both company types are showing encouraging progress.
- There is no significant variation by firm size.

**Standard Reports**

Generating reports is a mission-critical functionality of any analytical tool. To establish the relative importance of various types of reports created from field data, contractors were asked to identify, from a list, which report(s) they use. The bottom chart shows the responses from all contractors.

- Almost every company (90%) creates reports on project financials, followed closely by project logs (83%) and safety reports (73%).
- Equipment management is reported on more frequently by specialty trades (60%), who often have a higher level of involvement with and responsibility for construction equipment than general contractors (49%).
Types of Reporting and Analysis Conducted by Contractors

Research and Charts
Contractors were asked to identify the kind(s) of analysis/analyses they currently perform on data gathered from the field, and to designate the type(s) of person(s) most frequently conducting it. The top chart shows the percentage of contractors engaging in four different kinds of analyses. Among those performing any type of analysis, the lower chart indicates what role is typically responsible for generating it, divided between general and specialty trade contractors.

Types of Analyses Being Conducted
Half or more of the respondents are using each kind of analysis studied, indicating a broad-based approach across all companies.

- Descriptive analysis is the most frequent (69%), which is useful to quickly document and share the current status of specific quantifiable metrics on a project or program.
- The other three types (Diagnostic, Predictive and Prescriptive) are somewhat less common, probably because they typically require more qualitative data, and often involve historical analysis, collaborative consultation and experienced input to be effective.
- Large companies (average 65%) are more engaged in all four kinds of analyses than small firms (average 48%). General contractors also do more than trades, but not by large margins.

Who Conducts Analysis
Project leadership in the office is the most common source of analysis reports in all companies. Perhaps because trades tend to be smaller organizations than general contractors, they rely more frequently on their senior leadership to generate analysis and reports (77% and 64%, respectively).

Slightly more trades cite field staff but overall, they are much less frequently used. This may change as mobile and cloud capabilities increase.
Roles That See Project Analysis and Reports

THE BIG PICTURE
Reports created for management are intended to provide insight, identify issues for timely action and generally support better-informed decision-making. Therefore, when considering the best means to handle analysis and reporting, it is valuable to know which stakeholders inside an organization are most frequently receiving and using them.

Research and Chart
To establish how frequently various stakeholders at construction companies engage with reports created from field data, contractors were asked to identify which roles in their organization typically get to see the analysis reports. The chart shows the differences in these patterns between small, medium and large firms.

- Senior company leadership (average 87%) and project leaders in the office (average 89%) are the most frequently included by all sizes of companies.
- Inclusion of field staff is far more common at large companies (average 75%) than small (average 50%), as well as safety staff (73% and 37%, respectively).
- Larger contractors tend to have more sophisticated marketing departments that can leverage project statistics for business development value, so 32% include them in report distribution compared with midsize (10%) and small (15%) companies.

DIFFERENCES BETWEEN GENERAL CONTRACTORS AND SPECIALTY TRADES
While the approach to circulating analysis reports among stakeholders is similar between the two company-types, the table shows three cases where general contractors are notably more inclusive than trades.

Roles That Receive Reports (By Size of Company)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Role</th>
<th>Small</th>
<th>Medium</th>
<th>Large</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Senior Leadership</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>93%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Leadership in the Office</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>79%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Leadership in the Field</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superintendents in the Field</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>73%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety Staff</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marketing Staff</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Roles That Receive Reports (According to General and Specialty Trade Contractors)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Role</th>
<th>Specialty Trades</th>
<th>General Contractors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project Leadership in the Field</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superintendents in the Field</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marketing Staff</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Some do nothing, and conclude there was little benefit to the effort. Others become so absorbed in what they can now see of their past performance that they stall. The reason to collect and analyze data, however, is to use it to make more effective decisions.

Data can be used descriptively, diagnostically, predictively and/or prescriptively. “It really just boils down to business intelligence,” says Mikeal Kanouff, operations manager at McClone Construction, a structural concrete and formwork company that is pioneering data-integrated decision making into several aspects of its business. “How do you know when a job is going well or not? That’s what we’re talking about, ultimately.”

**Risk Reduction**
McClone, for example, is using data and analytics to manage its biggest risk factor: labor. “A lot of the WIP reports that we’ve seen out there are anywhere from a week to a month old,” Kanouff says. “You’ve already spent the money.” So the company has devised a solution that links information from its time sheet, cost report and accounting platforms. As soon as a site superintendent enters the day’s cost codes, the software generates an update on where each code stands, and how many person-days the superintendent has left to work with. A projection area allows the superintendent to type in a crew mix, and see whether the planned mix and schedule will complete within budget. If not, instead of getting a report after the fact, the management team has a chance to resolve the problem in advance.

A second example pertains to McClone’s estimating, again with a focus on labor costs. Because concrete production rates vary with the specifics of each installation, McClone slices its project data finely enough for those specifics to show. Information is categorized by individual floor levels. With thousands of records to draw on, estimators can create like-job backup floor by floor, giving the company confidence that its bids are accurate.

**Seeing the Pipeline**
Chicago-based general contractor Pepper Construction is finding that some of its most actionable data-based insights relate to the firm’s pipeline. “Just having the visibility in graphics rather than line-by-line makes it easier to understand,” says Jake Pepper, vice-president of integrated construction services. Seeing at a glance what types of opportunities are coming up—their timeframes, owners and design teams, and market-type breakdown—facilitates the company’s week-to-week strategizing on how to win each job.

Pepper is also experimenting with programs designed to extract value from one of construction’s most under-used forms of data: innumerable daily site photographs. One program the firm is trialling collates all of this daily visual data, and compares it with the project’s 4D Building Information Model (BIM) to predict whether work is on schedule. If not, it highlights the source of the holdup. Visuals can even be used as point-cloud data to monitor the accuracy of the work. “Automating the pictures from site is helping us to eliminate silos, and improve planning and communication,” says Jennifer Suerth, vice-president of technical services at Pepper. “We are actually seeing the quality of our work go up because we’re being so transparent with the data.”

**Measuring Success**
With a burgeoning market in data devices and analytics, prioritization and assessment are essential. “I always think, ‘what’s the why?’” says Suerth. “What problem are we trying to solve?” Kanouff goes back to what he calls “old school data”: holding conversations, conducting interviews, checking whether people are using the new solution and asking for feedback. “Data is there to allow a business to run more efficiently, to reach KPIs and to head off situations that you otherwise wouldn’t know about,” he says “You’ll know it’s successful when people tell you, ‘This is something I use day-to-day, and I plan my jobs out differently because of it.’”
Jit Kee Chin
Executive Vice President and Chief Data Officer
Suffolk

What emerging technologies have the greatest potential for improving performance?
CHIN: I personally believe automated monitoring has great potential, and it comes in many forms. Image recognition, for example, is starting to become very pervasive. The ability to take pictures and analyze them using image recognition to extract features that you care about is very powerful. For example, we are starting to use image recognition to recognize safety hazards like PPE [personal protective equipment] non-adherence. By using automated monitoring techniques, we can help our project team members make better sense of the complex site environment and take action before something becomes an issue.

The challenge now is to make automated monitoring more widely adopted and to integrate that process into the daily workflow of project sites. There are far too many point solutions out there that require you to go to a different location on a site, access an application or read a whole bunch of dashboards. The people on project sites just don’t have that kind of time. They need to do their everyday jobs, they need to work with trade partners, and they need to be solving problems constantly. Technologies that require too much change to their daily routines and workflows all at once are much more difficult to adopt.

What about the applicability of artificial intelligence for construction?
CHIN: So far, most environments where AI has been successfully applied include office jobs where someone is sitting at a computer but construction is inherently a very physical job... It’s not a job where you can spend hours in front of the computer reading dashboards or looking at numbers. That is one of the main factors that make AI in construction so different from other industries that have successfully adopted it.

In addition to that, in our industry, each project is different. There are many variables that need to be considered for AI to be useful and valuable.

Regardless of industry, AI needs data. It is difficult for one general contractor to manage the amount of projects necessary to create enough actionable intelligence from that data. Where is the big data that the AI can actually crunch to do something useful? Image data, which I mentioned earlier is one type of useful data. Data from sensors is another type. There is a lot of design-drawing data, cost estimate data and people data. You have to take all of these data into consideration in order to understand the entire job.

What would be the ideal circumstance to help deal with all these different data flows?
CHIN: You need a data architecture and a data strategy that allow you to integrate between data types. You need to be able to extract meaning from the data to create value. For example, what would you like to extract by combining images and financials and schedules together? What’s the question you’re trying to answer? People are often fuzzy about the business goals of their data strategies. They’re just focused on data for data’s sake.

In construction, we ask ourselves whether we’re measuring enough on the construction site. Because all the data is so disparate, do we need to come up with a new way to measure onsite? I have the feeling that we’re not measuring enough or that few are seeing the forest through the trees.

What are the challenges in deploying new technology?
CHIN: [Jobsites] are very decentralized, independent working environments. There is an entrepreneurial mind-set that exists on these sites that needs to be [addressed] when implementing new technologies. The challenge is that... the pace of technology is out of kilter with the pace of the actual projects. The incentive structure on projects needs to be aligned with the introduction of new technologies. But that leads to other questions, like how do we prove the value of these new technologies to clients?
Improvements and Benefits Experienced in the Last Three Years

**Degree of Improvement Over Last Three Years**

To track the pace of advancement, contractors were asked to characterize the degree to which their data gathering, analysis and reporting capabilities have improved over the last three years. The upper chart compares the responses from small and large companies.

- About half of the small contractors (49%) reported that their capabilities stayed stable, while most of the rest (48%) experienced some level of improvement.
- This contrasts sharply with large companies, where over three quarters (78%) cited improvement and only a few (20%) had no change.
- Twice as many large firms (14%) claim to have had significant improvement than small ones (7%).

These disparities highlight that smaller firms are at risk of falling behind in the construction industry’s journey of digital transformation.

**Business-Related Benefits of Improved Capabilities**

To evaluate the positive impact on their businesses, contractors who cited some level of improvement in their data gathering, analysis and reporting capabilities over the past three years were asked to comparatively rate the frequency with which they received each of five specific internal benefits because of those improvements. The lower chart shows the ratings from all respondents converted to a 1–10 relative frequency scale.

Findings are similar between general and trade contractors and for all size ranges across these five business benefits except for the top one (ability to win new work) which both small firms and trade contractors rate notably lower.

---

**THE BIG PICTURE**

After implementing any kind of business improvement, companies need to focus on continually improving their ability to take advantage of it, and on measuring the tangible, repeatable and scalable benefits it produces. For a construction company, that includes both internal business benefits, which make it more successful, competitive and valuable, and project-related benefits, which improve tangible delivery and performance metrics.

**Degree of Improvement Contractors Experienced in Data Gathering, Analysis and Reporting Capabilities in the Last 3 Years**

(According to Contractors Whose Data Gathering, Analysis and Reporting Capabilities Improved in the Last 3 Years)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Improved</th>
<th>Significantly Improved</th>
<th>Remained About the Same</th>
<th>Declined</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Small Firms</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large Firms</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>49%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Business Benefits of Improved Capabilities on a 1–10 Relative Frequency Scale**

(According to Contractors Whose Data Gathering, Analysis and Reporting Capabilities Improved in the Last 3 Years)

- Improved Ability to Win New Work: 9.6
- Better Client Relationships: 6.6
- Improved Reputation in the Industry: 5.8
- Improved Ability to Attract New Employees: 2.0
- Improved Ability to Retain Employees: 1.6
Benefits of Improved Data Gathering and Analysis
Improvements and Benefits Experienced in the Last Three Years

Project-Related Benefits of Improved Capabilities
To determine its impact on project performance, contractors who reported experiencing improvement in their data gathering, analysis and reporting capabilities over the past three years were asked to identify the top three most frequent benefits that they believe the improvements produced over that period. The chart at right shows these rankings from all respondents.

**BETTER ABILITY TO COMPLETE PROJECTS AT/UNDER BUDGET**
- Over half of the contractors (53%) identify better budget performance as being among their top three benefits of improved data gathering, analysis and reporting.
- Over one quarter (26%) of them cite it as their most frequent benefit, significantly more than any other one and about 50% more first-place votes than the next highest scoring benefit (greater productivity).
- Almost half of general contractors (49%) include this benefit among their top three compared with just 38% of trades.
- Nearly twice as many large contractors (30%) give it a first-place ranking, compared with 17% of small companies.

**GREATER PRODUCTIVITY**
While ratings from general and specialty trade contractors are roughly equal, company size has a notable impact on designating greater productivity as a top benefit.
- Interestingly, more small companies (59%) include it among their top three than larger ones (39%).
- Far more of the small companies (34%) rank it in first place than either medium (13%) or large (11%) organizations.

These findings highlight the unique importance of the productivity metric to the small company segment of the market.

**GREATER PROFITABILITY**
Although almost half (46%) of companies include greater profitability somewhere among their top three benefits, there are interesting variances by size and type.
- 25% of specialty trades rate it as their first choice, compared with just 14% of general contractors.
- 24% of midsize companies rate it as their first choice, compared with 17% of small companies and just 9% of large ones.

---

**Project Benefits of Improved Capabilities**
(According to Contractors Whose Data Gathering, Reporting and Analysis Capabilities Improved in the Last 3 Years)

- **Better Ability to Complete Projects at/Under Budget**
  - First: 26%
  - Second: 13%
  - Third: 14%

- **Greater Productivity**
  - First: 18%
  - Second: 14%
  - Third: 15%

- **Greater Profitability**
  - First: 17%
  - Second: 17%
  - Third: 12%

- **Better Ability to Complete Projects at/Under Schedule**
  - First: 8%
  - Second: 20%
  - Third: 8%

- **Increased Safety on Projects**
  - First: 12%
  - Second: 8%
  - Third: 14%

---

**BETTER ABILITY TO COMPLETE PROJECTS AT/UNDER SCHEDULE**
Twice as many general contractors (43%) include this among their top benefits than do trade contractors (22%). This likely reflects their typically greater level of control over the schedule and responsibility for overall project delivery performance.

**INCREASED SAFETY ON PROJECTS**
While only about one third (34%) of all contractors cite improvements in this critical metric of better safety performance, there are some encouraging signs in the findings.

- Almost half (48%) of the large contractors include safety among their top three, versus only about a quarter of medium and small ones (combined average 27%).
- Since larger firms are often industry leaders, we can hope that this predicts more improvements in the future for the smaller organizations.

- While more general contractors (38%) include safety among their top three benefits than specialty trades (25%), half of those trade firms (13%) give it a first-place ranking, compared with just 11% of GCs.
Capabilities That Contribute to Benefits

THE BIG PICTURE
Evaluating the specific ways in which improving a company’s data gathering, analysis and reporting capabilities contributes to better performance will allow each company to map its journey of digital transformation toward providing the most benefits.

Research and Chart
Contractors were shown a list of seven data gathering, analysis and reporting capabilities and asked to identify the top three that contributed most to the benefits they achieved over the last three years. The chart shows the findings from all respondents.

Overall Findings
Among the seven capabilities, gathering reliably accurate data from the field contributes most to improved performance, with nearly two thirds (63%) of contractors including it among their top three and over one third (34%) ranking it first.

Tied for second place in the combined ranking (46%) are the promptness of gathering data and the ability to compare it over multiple projects. Importantly, these also earn double-digit percentages of the voting for first place.

While the other four capabilities score lower, none have a top three total of less than 29%, and all of them garner at least some first-place votes, indicating that each of these seven has contributed meaningfully to contractors’ performance improvements over the last three years.

DIFFERENCES BY COMPANY SIZE
Ratings are largely similar for companies of all sizes, except for the ability to gather data that is comparable across projects, which scores a first-place rating from a quarter (24%) of small firms compared with far fewer medium (7%) and large (14%) ones. This indicates a particularly valuable benefit for that market segment.

DIFFERENCES BETWEEN GENERAL CONTRACTORS AND SPECIALTY TRADES
Cross-project comparison capability is also rated differently between company types, with 22% of trades designating it as the most important, versus just 10% of general contractors.

Top Ranked Capabilities That Contribute to the Benefits Achieved From Improved Data Gathering, Analysis and Reporting
Dodge Data & Analytics, 2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Capabilities That Contribute to the Benefits Achieved From Improved Data Gathering, Analysis and Reporting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ability to Gather Accurate Data From the Field</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ability to Gather Prompt Data From the Field</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ability to Gather Data That is Comparable Across Projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ability to Create Custom Reports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ability to Conduct Analysis Across Different Types of Data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ability to Store Data in an Easily Managed Way</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ability to do Trend Analysis Across Projects</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The opposite holds true for promptness of data gathering, where over half (52%) of general contractors put it in their top three and 21% name it as the most important capability, compared with only 31% of trades including it overall and just 12% giving it a first-place ranking.
Benefits of Improved Data Gathering and Analysis

Improvements Needed to Increase Benefits

**THE BIG PICTURE**
As technology solutions continue to advance, their functionalities, workflows and capabilities become increasingly powerful. Knowing specifically which capabilities would make the most positive contribution to performance if they could be improved provides a valuable road map for users, managers and technology developers.

**Research and Chart**
Contractors were asked to rank the top three among seven capabilities in terms of which would make the greatest contribution to benefits in the future if they could be improved.

**Overall Findings**
Underscoring its top-place ranking for the capability that contributed the most to better performance over the last three years, contractors’ ability to gather accurate data from the field is also the most important capability to concentrate on improving so that benefits will continue to increase in the future.

Interestingly, the ability to do trend analysis across projects rose to second-place in this future-oriented category, after having finished last in the retrospective evaluation. This dramatic move is an important voice-of-customer signal to the technology development industry.

Conversely, the ability to do custom reports fell from fourth place to last, perhaps reflecting a view that improvements in out-of-the-box reporting from automated systems will make this custom capability less important going forward and will support more standardization across projects, companies and collaborative teams.

Variations by firm size or type are relatively small, indicating a common view of the capabilities that will be most meaningful in the future.

---

**Improvements to Data Gathering, Analysis and Reporting Needed to Increase Future Benefits**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Capability</th>
<th>First</th>
<th>Second</th>
<th>Third</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ability to Gather Accurate Data From the Field</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ability to Do Trend Analysis Across Projects</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ability to Gather Data That is Comparable Across Projects</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ability to Gather Prompt Data From the Field</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ability to Conduct Analysis Across Different Types of Data</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ability to Store Data in an Easily Managed Way</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ability to Create Custom Reports</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
As a multi-trade industrial contractor, W. Soule & Co. relies heavily on data to analyze its financial performance, manage risk and pursue future business opportunities. For years, the firm struggled to run the necessary financial reporting to meet its goals. Working with software developers, the company crafted a solution that streamlines and enhances its financial reporting capabilities—saving time, improving cash flow and providing insight into current and future projects.

**Challenges in Gathering Data**

W. Soule offers a diverse range of services to industrial clients from its five offices in Michigan and one in Florida. The firm serves primarily the life sciences, chemical, paper and food & beverage industries with specialization in process piping, custom metal fabrication, millwright services and HVAC services. Howard Dembs, chief financial officer at W. Soule, says the $100-million company can track up to 4,000 jobs annually. “We have a lot of small jobs, so there much more data to sift through than many companies our size,” he says. “It’s important for us to be able to filter through what [data] we need, when we need it.”

One of the biggest tasks for Dembs and the company’s controller is the monthly financial close. “We do a hard close every month,” he says. “Once that close is done, we do analysis on how the month went and adjust our approach from there.”

W. Soule’s basic analysis needs are:

- How well did the company do financially on certain jobs?
- How well did the company do financially with specific customers?
- How well did its project managers do in meeting financial goals?

The main challenge was that the company didn’t have a seamless technology solution to run the numerous regular reports it required. Dembs says the company was able to pull basic reports from existing software, but functionality was limited. When the company need to perform analysis that went beyond the standard capabilities of its existing software, Dembs says the company would have to perform workarounds. Exporting and importing financial data or rekeying into other pieces of software significantly slowed its reporting process and increased the risk of introducing errors.

“[Software engineers] write things based on their own test data, not real data,” he says. “I used it from a real-life application and gave them tips on things that would be useful in the real world.”

**Improving the Monthly Close**

A few months after testing completed, the company started using the new software and quickly saw the benefits. Dembs says that by not having to re-input data from one software program to another, the company was able to significantly save time as well as reduce errors in its financial data. He says the time needed for the monthly close, which could sometimes take up to a week, was reduced by one-third. “That’s where I’ve seen the most efficiencies—the close is very easy now,” he adds.

**Value of Real Data in Developing Software**

In 2017, Dembs was presented with an opportunity to be a beta tester for new accounting software that allows users to perform analysis using a “data cube” system. While the traditional approach to financial reporting is based primarily on data tables, data cubes offer a multidimensional approach to analysis. Data is gathered and stored in a way that allows for more flexibility and makes it easier for users to pull multiple pieces of data (dimensions) into custom reports.

Dembs says the data cube approach gave the company the ability to drill down into data in new ways. However, the beta version of the software felt like it was “built in a lab.” Through his testing, he offered feedback to engineers to help make it more relevant to real-world demands.

“[Software engineers] write things based on their own test data, not real data,” he says. “I used it from a real-life application and gave them tips on things that would be useful in the real world.”

**Strategic Analysis Across Projects**

Once the monthly close is complete, the company can drill down and analyze its data. In addition to its standard reports, Dembs can do...
geographic mapping and analyze how specific regions are performing. He can also look at how certain business sectors compare to others, helping them identify opportunities for growth. “We can look at [the industries] we serve, see which one of them has our highest margins and say, ‘That’s what industry we should chase,’” he adds.

Risk Mitigation
Although much of its analysis work has been based off its hard-close numbers in the past, Dembs says the company is able to keep its system updated throughout the month more easily, allowing for more on-going analysis. By being able to stay on top of projects more frequently, Dembs says the company can mitigate risk. “The software provides our project managers an overview screen of their jobs,” he says. “We enter certain data, like payroll, every day, which is updated to that project overview screen. So, project managers can spot problems on their jobs sooner. Then they can start drilling in and finding out what the issue is.”

If a job is experiencing urgent issues, Dembs can also grant himself or others access to that project’s overview screen to help monitor progress.

More Timely Billing
Another significant benefit is the improved ability to better manage and monitor its billing. “In construction, it’s about cash flow,” he says. “Some customers go to 90-, 120- or 150-day payment terms. So, if we’re not billing quickly, it doesn’t become a receivable and we can’t start the meter on it. Our cash flow has improved, and I believe there’s a connection to our monitoring unbilled jobs.”

Advantages of a Cloud-Based System
Dembs says he also needed the system to be cloud based, primarily so users can access up-to-date data from anywhere. This is particularly helpful for staff members, such as project managers, who are typically away from the main office on project sites. “Our users have greater accessibility on the road without having to sign into our VPN,” he says. “They can access everything off a web browser.”

The cloud system also eliminated the cost and management of onsite storage. “We don’t have to keep up to date with the newest servers and hardware,” he says. “Our IT people don’t have to install updates—those are done automatically for us.”

Future Opportunities for Improvement
With the efficiencies gained from its software, Dembs says he is already looking to improve how he leverages the company’s financial data. Using data cubes has significantly expanded the company’s options for custom reporting. However, analysis is limited by the number of data fields that a data cube can access. Dembs would like to see continued expansion of data fields so the company can explore new and better ways to leverage business information. “It gives us better analysis, and we make better decisions,” he says. “I’ve written a lot of reports, but I’m at the point where I need more reports, and I don’t have that capability.”
New Approaches to Gathering and Using Data

Research and Chart

To gauge the current level of construction industry engagement with three emerging and potentially revolutionary technology trends, contractors were asked about their familiarity and experience with each.

- **Artificial Intelligence (AI):** Leveraging computer algorithms and historical and/or real-time data to make informed decisions, mimicking human intelligence. Typical AI capabilities include speech recognition, learning, planning and problem solving.

- **Machine Learning:** According to the SAS Institute, “Machine learning is a method of data analysis that automates analytical model building. It is a branch of artificial intelligence based on the idea that systems can learn from data, identify patterns and make decisions with minimal human intervention.”

- **Predictive Analysis:** Determining patterns from historical and/or real-time data to develop likely scenarios of future outcomes.

The practical application of these technologies is rapidly gaining traction in other industries, and though still in its infancy in construction, has enormous potential for transformative future impact. Examples could include:

- Evaluating safety data in conjunction with a wide variety of other project data to be able to better predict what combination of factors will trigger safety incidents so they can be proactively prevented. (An early example of this is featured in the case study on page 36.)

- Automatically revising project scheduling, workforce planning, material management and onsite/offsite production on-the-fly as a project proceeds, to optimize all resources in real time and execute the best possible project delivery.

- Deploying increasingly smart machines at the jobsite that can communicate with humans and each other, learn as they go, and continually improve quality and productivity.

The chart shows all respondents’ familiarity and experience with these trends. In addition, for a more in-depth look at these technologies and the construction industry, see the article on page 38.

**Contractor Familiarity and Experience**

- *Almost a quarter (21%) of contractors report experience with predictive analysis.*

- *The largest group (average 40% across all respondents) is comprised of contractors who understand the concepts but have not had any practical experience implementing any of the actual technologies.*

- *Machine learning is the least well-understood with 61% not knowing what it means or not recognizing the term.*

As technology continues to advance, these capabilities will increasingly be applied to the design and construction industry. It is an exciting future, and contractors should work with their industry organizations, technology partners and collaborative team members to learn about, embrace and prosper from these coming changes.
Wearable Sensors and Safety

Wearable sensors are expected to have a major impact on safety on construction sites, but many also hope they will improve productivity as well.

At 12:30 p.m. on a busy construction site, a sensor clipped to a worker’s belt signals that he has fallen. The site’s safety rep, automatically alerted as to who has fallen and where, rushes to the spot. But the worker is fine: “Must be a bug in the technology,” he says. After a few days when this reoccurs, the safety rep goes to see what happens at 12:30 p.m. On cue, the worker vaults off the back of his truck after finishing his lunch. The ensuing conversation, about how easy it would be to botch the landing, could prevent a twisted ankle. And there are more serious examples: for instance, another worker’s sensor reveals that he swings and drops twelve feet from floor to floor rather walking to the ladder. The conversation about avoiding that behavior could save a life.

Safety Insights

“How safe can we make a job, just based on knowing more information?” says Jason Pelkey, chief information officer at Gilbane Building Company. That’s the question behind Gilbane’s adoption of a system of wearable sensors and their associated equipment tags, evacuation alarms, dashboard and communication network. “Short of hiring an army to shadow people, you wouldn’t have the understanding of what’s happening on the jobsite that you get from an innocuous little clip that somebody’s carrying,” says Pelkey. “That data allows us to improve the safety and productivity of the jobsite.”

Because the sensors are all linked, including the ones attached to equipment, the system can signal a heads-up to a worker standing, for example, near a reversing backhoe. Or it can match equipment and users to ensure that only qualified people are operating the machinery. The sensors can cordon off areas electronically, reinforcing site communications with a warning if a worker gets too close to a danger zone. And a push-button alert enables workers to report worksite hazards or signal distress to designated supervisors from anywhere onsite.

Gilbane has been using the sensors for nearly two years on about a dozen sites, and is now collaborating with its insurer and the sensors’ manufacturer to explore the technology’s potential. “We’re still early on,” says Pelkey, “but already we’re seeing significant results in terms of safety awareness.”

Beyond Safety

From its roots in safety, sensor technology is expanding to enhance productivity. Data it generates about work patterns might lead a team to reconfigure its laydown area, for example, so that instead of workers taking 200 steps to get to the material they need, they only take five. Punch lists can be narrowed to the items closest at hand, and taking attendance is instantaneous.

A cloud-based dashboard enables onsite supervisors and offsite management to see and analyze construction operations in real time, and aggregated safety and productivity data can be filtered and analyzed according to individual subcontractor, trade or geographic location.

The system of clips that Gilbane are currently trying out are one of an increasing number of wearables now on the market. Other examples include sensing footwear that monitors location, status and environmental factors; ergonomics-focused sensors that monitor for risk of musculoskeletal injury; and apps for a device most people are wearing already—their phones—that facilitate the collection, analysis and communication of safety and other types of data.

Sensor Skeptics

A significant obstacle to the adoption of wearables on a jobsite is employee resistance. In Gilbane’s experience, openness upfront is key to success: have a kick-off meeting to show people the technology, discuss the goals for it and what it does and does not do, and provide forthright answers to any questions. Regardless of workers’ skepticism, says Pelkey, someone only has to need the system once and they’re instantly converted. “I didn’t have to leave my injured coworker to get help,” he quotes a worker saying. “Somebody was already running down the hall.”
Predictive analytics is the use of data, statistical algorithms and machine learning techniques to identify the likelihood of future outcomes. In the AEC industry now, predictive analytics has the capacity to warn of impending losses, improve safety, help optimize project schedules and increase productivity. As analytics evolves, additional capabilities are coming over the horizon fast.

Industry experts consider these innovations crucial to the future of construction. “It’s the only way for our industry to survive,” says Ricardo Khan, senior director of innovation at Mortenson Construction. “If we continue doing what we’re doing, which is relying on people to review—and review and review—as our projects get faster and more complicated, and our budgets get tighter, we will hit a wall.”

Predictive analytics can leapfrog many of the lagging and leading indicators that depend on human observation. By automating data capture, leveraging algorithms to analyze the data and providing almost real-time feedback on potential outcomes, predictive analytics can:

- compare current expenditures against historical data and projected costs to provide advance warning of a cost overrun while there’s still time to prevent it;
- recognize and classify jobsite images and extrapolate from safety incident data to predict the probability of an injury in the weeks ahead, and highlight the conditions and behaviors that need to change to avert it;
- analyze data from site images, wearables and IoT sensors to identify opportunities for increasing productivity;
- monitor information from IoT sensors to streamline maintenance;
- assess millions of scheduling options at electric speed to support project planning.

As well as helping construction managers keep up with—and ahead of—the increasing complexity and pace of construction, data-based forecasts are a powerful tool for supervisors and workers onsite. “If they have access to data that suggests slight changes to the current way they are doing things, they are more likely to be safe, save money and be more efficient—and that drives morale as well,” says Bruce Orr, chief data scientist at Pronovos Construction Analytics, a company that provides contractors with analytical solutions based on a range of project management products.

What predictive analytics can do now is only a fraction of the AI solutions still to come. According to a 2018 publication from McKinsey & Company, existing technologies developed for other industries are ripe for adaptation to the construction sector:

- Transportation route optimization algorithms could be translated to improve project planning and scheduling.
- For major projects, AI solutions from the pharmaceutical industry that forecast medical trial outcomes could be adapted to forecast the risk, constructibility and/or structural viability of a range of design solutions.
- As modularization and pre-fabrication become increasingly common in construction, retail sector applications to cut manufacturing downtime, reduce oversupply, and increase predictability of shipments will come into play, as will advances from the robotics industry.
- Healthcare-based breakthroughs in image recognition to support illness diagnosis could be transferred to jobsite imagery and digital models to improve quality control.

Machine learning (a branch of AI based on the idea that systems can learn from data, identify patterns and make decisions with minimal human intervention) holds barely tapped potential for automating a range of industry functions. Quality control and claims management, for example, could benefit from using deep learning techniques to review drone images, compare construction defects against design documents and predict the likelihood of claims.


**Sidebar: Emerging Data Trends**

**The Future of Data for Construction:**
**Predictive Analytics, Machine Learning and Artificial Intelligence**

Contractors have just begun to experience the potential for data being gathered from jobsites. Use of data in other industries shows the potential for gains from using predictive analytics, machine learning and artificial intelligence.
Project management could get a boost from automating the use of site images to make daily updates to a 3D digital twin, which marries sensor data to a BIM model. Design options under consideration by architects or engineers could be prioritized according to total cost of ownership, execution timeline or constructibility. And human resource management could benefit from AI-generated predictions of pinch points, such as local labor shortages, with recruitment plans ready made.

The more data AI can draw on, the more effective it becomes. To facilitate machine learning, and to realize the benefits of it sooner, software developers have begun asking their clients to consider another kind of change: the (anonymous) sharing of corporate data to generate sector-wide benchmarks. “The precedent exists in safety,” says Khan, and although many companies are still leery at the prospect, “data sharing may be a way to contribute significant value to the industry as a whole.”

Getting Started
So where should a company interested in leveraging its data for predictive insights start, and what should it look for? “Start where you are,” is the advice that crops up again and again. Identify a pressing priority, like reducing safety incidents, improving estimate accuracy or reining in cost overruns. If you don’t already have a tool that helps you analyze, segment and manage the data you have, get one. Clean up your data, implement a good data governance process, then deploy machine learning to advance your chosen priority.

For companies developing a wish list for their construction analytics platform, Orr has a few suggestions:

- **Use advanced analytics**
  - including predictive and prescriptive, to generate timely, actionable information pertinent to your priorities.
- **Be data source agnostic,** so your data remains continuously accessible through the analytics platform, even if you switch systems.
- **Use a preconfigured data model,** with common categories and metrics based on industry best practices preloaded, so you can get up and running fast.
- **Make sure you have the capability to self-service,** so you can explore your own data, and ask your own questions.
- **Use advanced visualizations,** so you can understand patterns, trends and correlations at a glance, rather than peering at rows and columns.
- **Enable intelligent data entry,** so information entered into the platform immediately contributes to the big picture.
- **Use natural language processing (NLP),** so you can type in a question and let the system find answers.
- **Strive for pervasive analytics,** so the intelligence follows you from screen to screen no matter which application you’re in.

The construction industry’s uptake of machine learning and AI solutions lags behind that of other industries, ranking 11th out of 13 in a 2018 survey of current adoption, and last on three-year projected investment. Contractors developing a coherent program to leverage their data predictively will find themselves in the industry vanguard. In conversations over the past five years with more than 1,500 contractors, general and specialty, spanning revenues of $20 million to $3 or $4 billion, “I have yet to meet a company that has adopted predictive analytics within their organization in any ongoing process,” says Orr. “Once we’re able to show how predictive analytics can be used, we see the mind-set change.”
The purpose of the 2018 Impact of Technology Implementation Survey was to examine the types of data that contractors in the construction industry are collecting, how they collect and store data, and what they do with the data. Also covered are the benefits firms receive from data collection and analysis practices. Respondents were additionally asked to comment on what they could do to improve their analysis and increase benefits from their data collection efforts.

Survey Distribution
The research findings in this report are based on an online survey of construction contractors in the United States, conducted from August 14, 2017 to September 12, 2018. The survey was conducted using the Dodge Data & Analytics Contractor Panel and contacts provide by Viewpoint. General and specialty trade contractors were included in this sample. The survey took an average of 22 minutes (13-minute median) to complete.

Survey Respondents
A total of 187 responses are included in the final analysis.

Requirements to Participate in the Study
Respondents had to meet the following requirements to participate in the study.

- A minimum of $10 million of billings in 2017
- Collect data in one of the following categories:
  - Productivity
  - Payroll
  - Equipment tracking
  - Safety records
  - Project improvement

Analytical Variables
A few analytical variables were used in the analysis of the data throughout the report.

- Company Type
  - General Contractors (Includes general contractors, construction managers, construction design/contracting, design-build firms and other prime contractors): 61%
  - Specialty Trade Contractors: 39%

- Company Size
  - Small Companies (Revenue less than $50M): 33%
  - Midsize Companies (Revenues of $50M to less than $250M): 37%
  - Large Companies (Revenues of $250M or more): 30%
Resources

Organizations and websites that can help you get smarter about the impact of data gathering and analytics on improving construction projects.
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Other Resources:
Associated General Contractors of America (AGC): www.agc.org
BuiltWorlds: https://builtworlds.com
The Center for Construction Research and Training (CPWR): www.cpwr.com
Construction Dive: www.constructiondive.com
Construction Executive: www.constructionexec.com
Engineering News Record: www.enr.com
For Construction Pros: www.forconstructionpros.com
Lean Construction Institute: www.leanconstruction.org
National Institute of Building Sciences: www.nibs.org
Dodge Data & Analytics SmartMarket Reports™

Get smart about the latest industry trends.

For more information on these reports and others, visit www.construction.com/toolkit/reports